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We assess the impact of lateral coastline-like boundaries on mixing and transport in a laboratory quasi-two-dimensional turbulent flow using a transfer-operator approach. We examine the most coherent sets in the flow, as defined by the singular vectors of the transfer operator, as a way to characterize its mixing properties. We study three model coastline shapes: a uniform boundary, a sharp embayment, and a sharp headland. Of these three, we show that the headland affects the mixing deep into the flow domain because it has a tendency to pin transport barriers to its tip. Our results may have implications for the siting of coastal facilities that discharge into the ocean. Published by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5003893

I. INTRODUCTION

On large scales, many geophysical flows, such as geostrophic flows in the oceans and atmosphere, are approximately two-dimensional (2D). This reduced dimensionality is well known to lead to a wide range of dynamical consequences, from the introduction of an infinite number of new (inviscidly) conserved quantities\(^1\) to an inverted turbulent energy cascade\(^2–4\) to an enhanced tendency to generate so-called coherent structures.\(^5,6\) Because of both this connection to geophysical flows and their intrinsic fundamental interest, there has thus been a significant amount of work done over the past few decades on two-dimensional flows.\(^1\)

In particular, two-dimensional flows have become paradigmatic systems for the study of coherent structures, particularly from the Lagrangian standpoint. A wide range of methods have been developed to find such structures, including geometric methods based on various properties of the Cauchy–Green strain tensors,\(^7–9\) probabilistic and set-oriented methods based on transfer operators,\(^10–13\) and topological methods based on the braiding of trajectories.\(^14,15\) Although such structures likely play some role in the dynamics of the flow,\(^6,16,17\) they have been much more widely studied in the context of transport and mixing.

Most of the work on coherent structures in two-dimensional flows has focused on unbounded flows with no lateral boundaries. Real geophysical flows, however, are almost always bounded; the ocean, for example, is surrounded by coastlines. A full understanding of the effect of coherent structures on transport therefore requires the exploration of the interaction between these structures and boundaries. Some previous work in both laboratory\(^18\) and observational\(^19\) studies has suggested that coherent structures can sometimes be pinned to boundary features, suggesting that the influence of the boundary on transport may be felt far into the bulk of the flow. Knowledge of how fixed boundaries affect mixing could have important ramifications, for example, for the siting of coastal facilities that discharge waste products into the ocean.\(^20,21\) Much work remains to be done, however, to characterize the effects of boundaries on transport in two-dimensional flows fully so that accurate predictions can be made in applied situations.

Here, we make progress toward this goal via controlled laboratory experiments in a quasi-two-dimensional, weakly turbulent flow in the presence of three canonical lateral boundary shapes: a uniform boundary, a model embayment, and a model headland. These boundaries are placed far from the walls of our experimental apparatus so that we can isolate their influence. We characterize the effects of the boundaries on the mixing properties of the flow using a transfer operator approach. We found that the presence of the boundaries affects the mixing relatively far into the flow, and that headlands have a longer-range effect than embayments. In addition, we also suggest several additions to the standard transfer operator implementation to make the approach both more suitable for experimental situations, where temporal and spatial scales and resolution are fixed, and to make its results more interpretable.

We begin below by presenting a description of our experiment and our methods for computing and analyzing transfer operators in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we discuss our
results, including both our additions to the standard transfer-operator framework and the effects of boundaries on the mixing in the flow. Finally, in Sec. IV, we summarize and put our results into context.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Experimental details

To create a quasi-two-dimensional flow in the laboratory, we use an electromagnetically driven thin-layer apparatus. As we have described elsewhere in detail, our apparatus contains a thin layer (about 0.5 cm deep) of electrolytic fluid supported by a flat glass substrate. The lateral dimensions of the working fluid were 86 × 86 cm². The electrolyte itself was a solution of 14\% by weight NaCl in deionized water, with density $\rho = 1.101 \text{ g/cm}^3$ and viscosity $1.25 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$. The glass floor was coated with a hydrophobic wax to reduce friction. We also floated an additional layer, 10 mm deep, of fresh water above the electrolyte; the miscible density interface between the two fluids defines the horizontal plane we study.

Under the glass floor lies an array of 34 × 34 permanent magnets with diameters of 12.7 mm, thicknesses of 3.2 mm, and a center-to-center spacing of $L_m = 25.4 \text{ mm}$. The strength of each magnet is roughly 600 gauss, and the magnets are arranged in stripes of alternating polarity. A DC electric current of 3.30 A is passed laterally through the thin layer of the electrolyte via a pair of copper electrodes. The coupling of the magnetic field and electric current produces a Lorentz body force on the fluid, which drives a flow that is nearly entirely in the plane. We keep the body force large enough to produce complex spatiotemporal dynamics and weak turbulence but not so large as to produce significant out of plane motions. We define a bulk Reynolds number as $Re = u'L_m/\nu$, where $u'$ is the in-plane root-mean-square velocity and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte. In the experiments described below, the Reynolds number is 200.

We measured the flow using particle-tracking velocimetry (PTV). The fluid was seeded with fluorescent polystyrene tracer particles with diameters of 110 \(\mu\text{m}\) that are small enough to follow the flow accurately, with a Stokes number of $O(10^{-4})$. The mass density of the tracer particles lay within the apparatus at a rate of 60 frames per second. The 1280 × 1024 pixels of the camera sensor recorded a field of view of $24.5 \times 19.36 \text{ cm}^2$ (about $9.5L_m \times 7.5L_m$) in the center of the apparatus, far from its walls. Each camera image contained about 22,000 particles, so that the velocity was well resolved in space. To reconstruct velocity fields, we projected the velocities measured at the particle locations onto a basis of streamfunction eigenmodes. In addition to ensuring that the velocity is incompressible in the plane, this procedure also removes noise from the measured fields in a way that maintains the spectral properties of the field.

As described in Sec. I, our goal here is to study the interplay between transport and mixing in the flow and lateral boundaries. To that end, we constructed three canonical removable boundaries, as shown in Fig. 1, which we will refer to for convenience as “coastlines”; a control case of a uniform, featureless boundary, a sharp triangular embayment, and a sharp triangular headland. Both the embayment and the headland were right triangles with a peak-to-base distance of $L_m$. In the results reported here, the model coastlines were placed in the measurement region in the center of the apparatus (as described earlier) and oriented such that the along-shore direction was perpendicular to the magnet stripes. We also ran experiments for other coastline orientations, with qualitatively similar results. Finally, we note that in reconstructing the velocity field, we enforce no-flux and no-slip conditions on the coastlines.

B. Transfer-operator-based partitioning

There are of course many different ways to assess fluid mixing. Here, our specific goal is to understand the spatial structure of mixing and its relationship with coherent structures, and how these are affected by the presence of different kinds of lateral boundary conditions. Thus, it makes sense to use a mixing assessment that takes into account this structure, ideally from a Lagrangian perspective. Many Lagrangian techniques exist to study mixing that each have their strengths and weaknesses. Here, we choose to take an approach based on transfer operators, as it allows us, via a flow partitioning, to make a relatively simple characterization of the modifications of the mixing properties of the flow by the boundary conditions while averaging over much of the inherent complexity of the turbulent flow. Additionally, the solid mathematical foundation of the transfer operator allows us to make estimates of relevant time scales, as we describe more fully below.

Formally, the transfer operator maps a density initially located in some region of the flow $X$ to a (possibly) different region $Y$. Here, we follow Froyland et al. and use a finite-dimensional numerical approximation of the transfer operator. We first break up the initial domain $X$ into subsets $B_i$ and the final domain $Y$ into subsets $C_j$, and then define the transfer operator as

$$
P^{(\tau)}(t)_{ij} = \frac{\ell(B_i \cap \Phi(C_j, t + \tau; -\tau))}{\ell(B_i)}. \tag{1}
$$

Here, $\Phi(z, t, \tau)$ is the flow map, which gives the location of a fluid element at time $t + \tau$ that was at position $z$ at time $t$, and $\ell$ is a normalized volume measure. Thus, since $P^{(\tau)}(t)_{ij}$ is row-stochastic by construction, it encodes the probability that a fluid element initially in $B_i$ at time $t$ will be found in $C_j$ after being advected by the flow for a time $\tau$.

Again following Froyland et al., we estimate the transfer operator in our experimental data by first breaking our flow domain into a grid of 25 × 25 boxes; these boxes are the $B_i$ in Eq. (1). We are interested here in coherent mixing within the domain rather than transport from one part of the flow to another; thus, we set the $C_j$ to be the same boxes as the $B_i$. We place $N_p = 200$ virtual Lagrangian points $z$ uniformly in each of the $B_i$, at time $t$, which we advect forward to time $t + \tau$ by integrating their equations of motion.
through the experimentally measured velocity fields using a second-order Runge–Kutta integrator.\textsuperscript{26} We can then approximate the transfer operator by simply counting; the value of $P_{ij}(t)$ is given by the ratio of the number of particles that begin in $B_i$ at time $t$ and end in $C_j$ at time $t + \tau$ to $N_p$, the total number of particles that began in $B_i$.\textsuperscript{11} Although using a grid of $25 \times 25$ boxes to approximate the transfer operator is coarser than might be ideal, we are limited by the finite spatial resolution in the experiment: if the grid is too fine, then the velocity field felt by the virtual Lagrangian points inside each box will primarily be interpolated between the measured data points, leading to errors. To test this, we tried varying the number of boxes in the grid, and found that $25 \times 25$ was a good compromise between accuracy and resolution. As another test, we also tried using a square domain rather than a rectangular domain to test for possible biases due to the domain shape. This change did not, however, qualitatively affect our results.

With this approximation of the transfer operator in hand, we can use it to partition the flow domain into its most coherent subsets, which we label $X_1$ and $X_2$ at time $t$ and $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ at the final time $t + \tau$, such that $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ and $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Note that $Y_1$ is the image of $X_1$ and $Y_2$ is the image of $X_2$ under the flow map. Froyland et al.\textsuperscript{11} constrained this partitioning by requiring the measure of each subset to be about half of the full set and the extracted subsets to be the most coherent in a sense that is defined by the properties of the transfer operator. Specifically, they first define diagonal matrices $\Pi_p$ and $\Pi_q$, where the diagonal entries of $\Pi_p$ are the number of Lagrangian points in each of the $B_i$ (normalized by the total number of points in the calculation, $25 \times 25 \times 200$ in our case) and the diagonal entries of $\Pi_q$ are the (normalized) number of Lagrangian points in each of the $C_j$. They then form the matrix $\Pi_p^{1/2} P \Pi_q^{-1/2}$, where $P$ is the discrete estimate of the transfer operator, and compute its singular values and singular vectors. We label the singular values as $\sigma_m$, where $\sigma_1$ is the largest singular value (which is always 1, by construction), and so on. Denoting the left and right singular vectors corresponding to the second-largest singular value $\sigma_2$ as $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$, respectively, one then defines

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\quad x &= \hat{x} \Pi_p^{-1/2}, \\
\quad y &= \hat{y} \Pi_q^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Visually inspecting these vectors can give a fuzzy, qualitative sense of the most coherent sets in the flow. The more positive $x_i$ is, the more likely it is to belong to $X_1$; and the more negative it is, the more likely it is to belong to $X_2$ (with an analogous relationship between $y_i$, $Y_1$, and $Y_2$). Values of $x_i$ close to zero are more ambiguous. Nevertheless, one can then use these vectors to create a binary partition of the flow domain via

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
X_1 &= \bigcup_{i : x_i > b} B_i, \quad X_2 = \bigcup_{i : x_i < b} B_i, \\
Y_1 &= \bigcup_{j : y_j > c} C_j, \quad Y_2 = \bigcup_{j : y_j < c} C_j.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

$b$ and $c$ here are free parameters that allow one to balance the number of points sorted into each part of the partition, and one typically seeks to set them to balance the partition. Here, we simply use $b = c = 0$.

The sets computed via this method will be the most coherent in the flow, in the sense that fluid that began in $X_1$ will map primarily into $Y_1$ under the action of the flow with as little leakage into $Y_2$ as possible. By construction, then, the fluid initially in $X_1$ does not mix with the fluid initially in $X_2$. Thus, if we compare with widely used methods for detecting so-called Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCSs),\textsuperscript{9} which seek to find co-dimension 1 transport barriers, we can think of the sets identified by this transfer-operator method as the regions of fluid that are approximately bounded by LCSs.

C. Handling open domains

So far, we have described exactly the method introduced by Froyland et al.\textsuperscript{11} for partitioning the flow domain into finite-time coherent sets. However, as a practical matter, we found that we needed to introduce some modifications to make the method feasible for use in an experimental setting. As defined in Eq. (1), the transfer operator depends on the
time scale τ, and the partitioning of the flow will produce the sets that are the most coherent over this time scale. τ is thus a free parameter, and ought to be set by the flow physics. To be able to construct the transfer operator accurately, one thus needs to be able to follow the trajectories of all of the Lagrangian points introduced at time t for the entire duration τ. Most experiments and field observations, however, measure the flow field only for a subdomain of the entire body of fluid—and thus, since this measurement domain is open, the Lagrangian points may freely leave the field of view. Once an appreciable fraction of the points have left the field of view, the transfer operator can no longer be reliably constructed, both because the smaller number of particles may lead to statistical sampling issues (or even empty entries in the P matrix) and because some of the “lost” particles may actually return to the domain at some later time. Thus, the time scale τ in an experiment is limited by the field of view, and cannot necessarily be set optimally for the relevant physics.

We overcome this (experimental) limitation by calculating transfer operators only for short time intervals δτ for which most of the Lagrangian points remain in the field of view. We then exploit the fact that transfer operators can be composed via matrix multiplication to construct a long-time transfer operator as

\[ P^{(t)}(t)_{ij} = P^{(\delta \tau)}(t)_{ij} \cdot P^{(\delta \tau)}(t + \delta \tau)_{ij} \cdot P^{(\delta \tau)}(t + 2\delta \tau)_{ij} \cdot \ldots \cdot P^{(\delta \tau)}(t + (n - 1)\delta \tau)_{ij}, \]

where \( \cdot \) denotes matrix multiplication and τ is the time interval lasting from t to t + nδτ. Similar composition approaches have been successfully used previously to construct long-time flow maps from short-time maps. This approach is not perfect, in part because we are only computing an approximation of the true transfer operator and small errors introduced in each short-time approximation may compound. It is, however, necessary given the realities of experimental data. And, as we show below, our results offer support for the efficacy of this approach. Finally, even for short times, a small fraction of Lagrangian points may still leave the domain. Thus, we normalize each row of the transfer operators so that they sum to unity before we multiply them together. Since transfer operators map densities, this normalization will not affect their structure as long as each box still contains enough Lagrangian points to be statistically significant. We note that because we construct long-time transfer operators from the composition of short-time operators, this loss of particles is a small effect, and so the required renormalization of the rows of the transfer operator is always less than 4%; if it were larger, a more careful, systematic approach may be needed.

D. Partition confidence and coherence time scales

Making the modifications to the standard transfer-operator-based flow partitioning described previously makes the method feasible to run on experimental data. But there still remains an issue that can make the results of the algorithm difficult to interpret, particularly in highly unsteady and complex turbulent flows where the “correct” partitioning is difficult to guess a priori: the method described above will always produce an answer (that is, a binary partitioning of the flow domain into two sets), even if this answer has little meaning.

As described above, the algorithm of Froyland et al. partitions the flow domain using the second-largest singular value of the transfer operator σ2, as this value is guaranteed by the Perron–Frobenius and Courant–Fischer theorems to produce the maximally coherent sets; thus, the magnitude of σ2 indicates the level of coherence of the sets. Note that the largest singular value of the transfer operator is always unity and simply represents conservation of mass. If σ2 is much larger than, say, the third-largest singular value σ3, we can additionally assert that we are confident in the partitioning of the domain, and that a partitioning based on σ3 would be significantly inferior. But if these singular values are close in magnitude, our confidence is much lower, since noise or uncertainty could potentially change the ordering of the singular values. Partially for this reason, other partitioning methods based on operator spectra typically require a spectral gap larger than some threshold when identifying coherent structures.

Here, we follow these previous authors and require a gap between the second and third singular values to consider the partition to be meaningful. However, we extend this idea by exploiting the inherent time dependence in the flow. As mentioned above, the transfer operator and therefore the maximally coherent sets depend on the time scale τ, which should be determined by the flow physics. But how exactly can we use the flow information to decide on τ? We suggest here that if we track the singular values over a range of τ and the spectral gap between the second and third singular values suddenly increases at some particular value of τ, then that time scale reflects an important time scale in the flow, because on that time scale we can be much more confident that a binary partitioning of the flow will be meaningful. Thus, in what follows, we enforce two criteria when reporting transfer-operator partitions: that (1) the second singular value be large enough (0.7, here) so that the partition is sufficiently coherent and (2) that the spectral gap between the second and third singular values be large as well, which may necessitate tuning of τ.

III. RESULTS

In most unsteady, turbulent flows, and certainly in those we consider here, at any given time there will be many coherent structures. In that sense, the binary partition of the flow field provided by the transfer-operator method described here is an oversimplification. However, our goal is to gain an understanding of the effects of lateral boundaries on the typical mixing properties of the flow—and for that purpose, such a partitioning is sufficient. In addition, since we are primarily interested in the “typical” effects of these boundaries, for much of the following analysis we average over several different statistically independent realizations of the flow.
A. Uniform boundary

We begin with the uniform boundary [Fig. 1(a)] as a control case. In Fig. 2, we show the transfer-operator singular values for different mapping times. Clearly, the singular values decay at different rates, with the larger singular values decaying more slowly (indicating their more significant coherence). In Fig. 3, we show the ratio of the second and third singular values \( \sigma_2 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) as a function of time, demonstrating that the spectral gap between them is not fixed but rather grows as time evolves. For the uniform boundary, the spectral gap appears to grow in a sequence of rapid increases followed by periods with slower change. To reiterate from above, these rapid increases indicate significant enhancement of the confidence we have in the flow partitioning. We attribute the fluctuation in \( \sigma_2/\sigma_3 \) near \( \tau = 4T_L \) to the short-time stochasticity inherent to our turbulent flow, and would expect it to be reduced by averaging over a larger ensemble. We also note that the second singular value remains above our threshold for this entire time span, indicating that the coherence of the sets it distinguishes is still large.

In Fig. 4, we show the flow partitions at three values of \( \tau \), each just after one of the periods of rapid increase: \( \tau \approx 3.5T_L \), \( 6.5T_L \), and \( 11T_L \), where \( T_L = L_m/u^* \) is the eddy turnover time of the magnet-scale eddies. In each case, we show results at both the initial time (that is, the sets \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \)) and the final time (the sets \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \)) and for the “fuzzy” partitions [that is the \( x \) and \( y \) vectors defined in Eq. (2)] and the binary partitions following Froyland et al.\(^{11}\). As \( \tau \) increases, we see that \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) gradually move from being separated vertically to being separated horizontally; that is, the sets flow in the along-shore direction. However, the exact position of the boundary between the two sets is somewhat fuzzy, as indicated by relatively large regions where the components of the \( x \) and \( y \) vectors are close to zero.

Physically, the uniform boundary on the right side of the domain reduces the velocity component perpendicular to it, and hence transport parallel to the boundary is enhanced. Also, we see a directional preference here. The top coherent set intrudes into the bottom one from the left side, and the bottom coherent set intrudes into the top one from the right side. This anisotropy is likely caused by an interaction between the background flow and the uniform boundary edges. As mentioned in Sec. II, the magnets in our apparatus are arranged in stripes, and the uniform boundary is perpendicular to the stripes. But the uniform boundary does not extend to the walls of the apparatus, but rather ends inside it (though outside the field of view of the camera). At its edges, the weak background mean flow introduced by the stripes interacts with the edges to produce a flow parallel to the boundary, which causes the directional preference here. In a previous study, we have shown that the magnet effect does appear in, for example, the long time averaged finite time Lyapunov exponent (FTLE) fields.\(^{35}\) In that case, however, we had to average for a very long time to see the weak magnet effect due to the stronger turbulent fluctuations. Here, we averaged over a much smaller data set, and yet the directional preference is clearly observable. This result may indicate that the transfer operator is more sensitive to weak directional preference than FTLEs are.

Finally, we note that we also performed a similar analysis on data far from any boundaries, where the flow is statistically isotropic. In that case, we found no identifiable time scales in the evolution of the spectral gap \( \sigma_2/\sigma_3 \). Additionally, the values of \( \sigma_2/\sigma_3 \) were systematically lower than for the uniform boundary case, indicating weak confidence in any computed flow partitioning, just as one would expect on the average for an unsteady isotropic flow.

B. Sharp embayment

The sharp triangular embayment [Fig. 1(b)] behaves similarly to the uniform boundary. In Fig. 5, we show the evolution of the transfer-operator singular values as a function of the advection time \( \tau \), and in Fig. 6, we show the ratio of the second and third singular values. The behavior of these curves is similar to the same quantities computed for the uniform
boundary case, although the growth of the spectral gap between the second and third singular values with time is somewhat smoother. Nevertheless, features in this curve are evident at $\tau/C_{25} = 9$ and $12T_L$ (the end of the record), which we take to be relevant times for examining the domain partitioning. This partition is shown in Fig. 7. Compared with the uniform boundary case, a similar along-shore intrusion is apparent. However, as can be seen by looking at the $x$ and $y$ vectors directly rather than the binary partition, the partitioning of the domain is fuzzier in this case, and the interface between the two most coherent sets is not as sharp. This lack of sharpness is evident in the behavior of the spectral gap over time: its evolution is smoother than that of the uniform boundary with a less pronounced structure, indicating a less clear separation of the two most coherent sets.

We can understand why the uniform boundary and the sharp embayment behave similarly by noting that the region inside the embayment is essentially a dead zone: there is very little flow inside it relative to the magnitude of the flow outside: we find that the root-mean-square velocity inside the embayment is only about 40% of what it is outside. However, there is still some weak coupling between the internal and external flow, which disturbs the coherent structures and is responsible for the decrease in coherence and the blurred behavior of the spectral gap in time as compared with the uniform boundary.

**C. Sharp headland**

Compared with the uniform boundary or the embayment, the sharp headland has a much stronger impact on the mixing in the flow. As above, we first show the evolution of the singular values for different values of $\tau$ in Fig. 8 and the ratio between the second and third singular values in Fig. 9. As compared with the two other boundaries we tested, the singular values decay more slowly for the headland, indicating that the coherent sets remain coherent for longer times. There is also more structure to the evolution of the spectral gap than we observed for the embayment, with much clearer features at $\tau \approx 9T_L$ and $11.5T_L$. In Fig. 10, we show the flow partition at these two time scales. Although the situation is somewhat fuzzier (in that the values of the $x$ and $y$ vectors are closer to zero over larger regions of space), the intrusion along the boundary that we observed for both the uniform boundary and the embayment is clearly gone. Thus, we can
FIG. 7. Flow partition for the sharp embayment, for (a) \( \tau \approx 9T_L \) and (b) \( \tau \approx 12T_L \). The ordering of the panels is the same as in Fig. 4, the embayment is on the right, as in Fig. 1(b), and the extent of the embayment is shown by the dashed lines. The values of the second singular value \( r_2 \) and the ratio of the second singular to the third, \( r_2 / r_3 \), are (a) 0.74 and 1.354 and (b) 0.71 and 1.653.

FIG. 8. Evolution of the transfer-operator singular values for the sharp headland as a function of \( \tau \). As in Fig. 2, the second and third singular values \( r_2 \) and \( r_3 \) are shown by thicker lines. The vertical dashed lines show the values of \( \tau \) for which flow partitions are shown in Fig. 10. The ordering of the panels is the same as in Fig. 4, the headland is on the right, as in Fig. 1(c), and the extent of the headland is shown by the dashed lines. The values of the second singular value \( r_2 \) and the ratio of the second singular to the third, \( r_2 / r_3 \), are (a) 0.89 and 1.259 and (b) 0.78 and 1.426.

FIG. 9. The evolution of the spectral gap as a function of \( \tau \) for the sharp headland. The values of \( \tau \) for which flow partitions are shown in Fig. 10 are plotted with large squares.

FIG. 10. Flow partition for the sharp headland, for (a) \( \tau \approx 9T_L \) and (b) \( \tau \approx 11.5T_L \). The ordering of the panels is the same as in Fig. 4, the headland is on the right, as in Fig. 1(c), and the extent of the headland is shown by the dashed lines. The values of the second singular value \( r_2 \) and the ratio of the second singular to the third, \( r_2 / r_3 \), are (a) 0.89 and 1.259 and (b) 0.78 and 1.426.
conclude that the headland imposes a (fuzzy) transport barrier that emanates out into the flow from its tip. We note that (as can be seen in Fig. 1) the window used for computing the transfer operator and coherent sets is somewhat farther from the boundary in the headland case as compared with the uniform boundary or embayment. However, we do not expect this difference to affect our results, given that the flow in the “extra” space between the boundary and calculation window is significantly blocked by the headland and that the coherent sets we found do not show significant variation in the direction perpendicular to the boundary.

Previous theoretical and experimental work argued that separation points on boundaries can act as origination sites for Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCSs). Our results here are consistent with this notion: the vortex of our sharp headland acts as a separation point, and LCSs are seen from the x and y vector values) it is somewhat fuzzier.

To ensure that our results here are an effect of the headland and not just of the orientation of the magnets, we also rotated the headland by 90°. In this case, the headland is on the bottom of the image, with its tip pointing up. Its extent is shown by the dashed lines. The values of the second singular value $\sigma_2$ and the ratio of the second singular to the third, $\sigma_2/\sigma_3$, are 0.88 and 1.087, respectively.

This work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant No. CMMI-1563489.
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FIG. 11. Flow partition for the sharp headland orientation rotated by 90° relative to Figs. 1(c) and 10, computed for $\tau \approx 10.6T_L$. In this case, the headland is on the bottom of the image, with its tip pointing up. Its extent is shown by the dashed lines. The values of the second singular value $\sigma_2$ and the ratio of the second singular to the third, $\sigma_2/\sigma_3$, are 0.88 and 1.087, respectively.
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